

From: [Chen, Lily \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: RE: Checklist and Evaluation Procedures from SHA-3
Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 3:35:52 PM

Do we need to indicate to them that even the current submission is complete and proper, you can modify and re-submit before 11/30 or we just do not say anything unless they ask? We certainly can worry this when we send the acknowledgement.

Lily

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 3:32 PM
To: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Checklist and Evaluation Procedures from SHA-3

If they re-submit, then that is their choice. I would think we disregard the old one, and only consider the re-submission. I would think we would be able to recognize if this happens, but I don't think this will be a common occurrence.

Good catch on Sara's name change.

From: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 3:27 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>; Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed) <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Checklist and Evaluation Procedures from SHA-3

Hi, Dustin,

I did not remember whether in SHA-3 this is a situation: a submission is checked before 9/30 as complete and proper and is submitted again with some modifications before 11/30. Does a submitter need to tell whether this is a re-submission?

By the way, Sara's name change to Sara Kerman after SHA-3.

Lily

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 2:25 PM
To: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>; Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed) <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>
Subject: FW: Checklist and Evaluation Procedures from SHA-3

Just in case you are interested....

I modified similar documents Shu-Jen used for SHA-3. We will follow these to check submissions for

being “complete and proper”. Yi-Kai, if you can, it might be nice to check that I didn’t miss anything in my checklist.

Dustin

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 2:23 PM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Checklist and Evaluation Procedures from SHA-3

Sara,

See my modified versions. I’m not going to be locking everything up in a safe!

Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, August 30, 2017 10:45 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: Checklist and Evaluation Procedures from SHA-3

Hey Dustin,

Here are a couple of things Shu-jen put together for SHA-3. Not sure if you’ve already thought about something along these lines or if these could be helpful in sorting submissions.

Sara